Increase of weight-bearing capacity of patients with lesions of the TFCC using a wrist brace
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ABSTRACT

Study design: Retrospective cross-sectional case series.

Background: Lesions of the triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) can result in pain during axial load and unstable distal radioulnar joint (DRUJ). Conventional wrist bracings decrease initial pain sufficiently but also prevent any movement during recovery and do not contribute to the stabilization of the DRUJ.

Purpose: In this retrospective analysis, we tested if the weight-bearing capacity of patients with lesions of the triangular fibrocartilage complex was increased by wearing a brace that stabilizes the distal radioulnar joint.

Methods: Twenty-three patients had an arthroscopically confirmed TFCC lesion. We compared preoperative dynamic weight-bearing capacity of both hands with and without a commercially available wrist brace (WristWidget). Subgroup analysis was performed for stability of the distal radioulnar joint and etiology of the TFCC lesion. The dynamic ulnar variance was measured in a modified weight bearing test. We used parametric tests for normally distributed values.

Results: The weight-bearing capacity of the hand with TFCC lesion was significantly lower than that of the control hand (16 verus 36 kg; p <0.001). The relative load of the affected hand compared to the unaffected hand increased from 48 % (CI 37-60, SD 27) to 59 % (CI 47-72, SD 29) with a brace. The device had no effect on the control hand. Twelve patients with unstable DRUJ had a lower weight-bearing capacity compared to the eleven with stable joint. The percentage improvement with bracing was higher for those with unstable joints (versus stable) and traumatic lesions (versus degenerative).

Conclusion: The use of a wrist brace significantly increases the weight-bearing capacity and therefore the maximum tolerated axial load of patients with a lesion of the TFCC. Patients with traumatic lesion or unstable DRUJ tend to show lower values than with degenerative lesions or stable joints.
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Introduction

The triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) contributes to the stability of the distal radioulnar joint (DRUJ) and axial stability of the forearm.1-3 Lesions of the TFCC may manifest with unilateral wrist pain during axial load and DRUJ instability.4 Clinical tests include testing for pain on pressure in the fovea ulnaris, forced ulnar deviation, and assessment of DRUJ stability among others.5 As diagnostic imaging such as MRI might show false negative results, the arthroscopic examination is the standard for diagnosing and treating TFCC-lesions.5 According to the site and shape, the Palmer classification differentiates between traumatic and degenerative lesions.6 Especially unilateral traumatic lesions with rupture of the foveal attachment (Palmer 1B, Atzei 2, and 3) might lead to instability of the DRUJ.7,8 The reconstructive surgery can be done arthroscopically or open and should be performed within 3 months but may be possible up to 2 years after trauma.9 If the patient chooses a nonsurgical treatment, the forearm can be splinted in supination for 4 weeks which can be followed by a wrist splint for 2 weeks.10 In patients with stable DRUJ, a conservative treatment with splinting and pain medication showed comparable results to the arthroscopic treatment.10

From our experience, pain during axial load is a major cause for inability to work in patients with either traumatic or degenerative lesions of the TFCC. Conventional wrist splints decrease initial pain sufficiently but also prevent any movement during recovery. Furthermore, they do not contribute to the stabilization of the DRUJ.

According to the convex-concave rule, dorsal wrist pain might be caused by inhibited gliding of carpal bones,11,12 Taping has been shown to decrease dorsal wrist pain by restricting midcarpal and intercarpal joint movement and improving gliding of the palmar bones.13

In this study we retrospectively analyzed the effect of a wrist brace that consists of two parallel tapes that stabilize the distal radioulnar joint on the preoperative weight-bearing capacity of patients who had a confirmed TFCC-lesion in arthroscopy.

Materials and Methods

Our database listed 23 patients who were admitted between 01/2019 and 12/2019 for wrist arthroscopy with suspected TFCC lesions who had persistent pain or DRUJ instability after failed conservative therapy which included forearm splinting, NSAIDs and physiotherapy. There was no selection regarding sex. Information on gender is not collected during preoperative presentation. Eligible patients performed the weight-bearing test with and without a wrist brace preoperatively and had an arthroscopically confirmed TFCC-lesion. The wrist brace was a standardized commercially available brace (WristWidget, Hawaii, USA) which consists of 2 parallel velcro-straps that embrace the distal forearm proximally and distally of the ulnar head (Fig. 1). The brace was applied by the patients and correct placement was checked by a hand surgeon. We analyzed onset and anamnestically suspected etiology of symptoms, hand dominance, side of complaint, and the score of the DASH-questionnaire.14 Data of physical examination of both hands included the range of motion of the wrist, grip strength, as well as tenderness of the TFCC (Fovea sign) and painful ulnar deviation of the affected hand. For the weight-bearing test,15 patients were asked to place the wrist in the middle of the scale with extended arms and increase load using their body weight until pain was not tolerated (Fig. 1 and Online Resource 1). The maximum weight that could be reached with and without brace was documented for both hands. Static and dynamic ulnar variance of both hands were measured on preoperative X-rays using a modified weight-bearing test in most cases. For this purpose, the patient closed his fist around a wooden bar while giving axial load on the examination table (Fig. 2). For cases who had an MRI, the TFCC-lesion was classified as suspicious for traumatic or degenerative origin. The type of TFCC lesion and need for operative stabilization of the distal radioulnar joint by TFCC-reconstruction, refixation or ulnar shortening were obtained from surgery records.

The local ethics committee reviewed and approved the retrospective study [BB103/19]. We obtained informed consent from all patients for the use and publication of their data.

Values are rounded to the first decimal or integers depending on the variable. Determination of variable distribution was performed visually, by Kolmogorov-Smirnov-test and by Q-Q Plot. Normally distributed values are presented with mean, 95% confidence interval (CI) of the mean and standard deviation (SD), not normally distributed values with median and interquartile range (IQR). For normally distributed values, the paired t-test for comparison of the affected hand with the contralateral (control) hand and unpaired t-test for comparisons between patients was used. Categorical variables were tested using Fisher's exact for expected counts less than five. A P value of ≤.05 was considered significant and
Table 1
ROM: sum of extension/flexion/ulnar duction/cradial duction in degrees, paired t-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical test</th>
<th>Affected hand</th>
<th>Control hand</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength [kg]</td>
<td>Mean (SD) CI</td>
<td>Mean (SD) CI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 (12)</td>
<td>17-27</td>
<td>34 (11)</td>
<td>29-39</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM [degree]</td>
<td>153 (32)</td>
<td>190 (23)</td>
<td>180-200</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS [degrees]</td>
<td>156 (18)</td>
<td>169 (5)</td>
<td>167-171</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic ulnar variance [mm]</td>
<td>0.42 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.77-1.6</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-bearing test [kg]</td>
<td>16 (9)</td>
<td>36 (12)</td>
<td>30.8-41.5</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PS = sum of pronation/supination in degrees. Statistic test was performed using Mann-Whitney-U.
CI = 95%-confidence interval of the mean; SD = standard deviation.

adjusted in case of multiple comparisons for the main hypothesis.

For subgroup analysis, only P values are given with no statement about significance. As not all tests were performed for each patient, the number of included cases is presented for the statistical tests. Agreement between the MRI report and the intraoperative finding regarding the differentiation on the type of lesion was determined by Cohen’s kappa.

Results

We included 14 female and nine male patients with a mean age of 45 (SD 13) years at the time of symptom onset and presented at a median of 214 days (IQR 235) after onset. The mean preoperative DASH score was 47 (CI 38-56, SD 17).

All patients were right hand dominant; the lesion was located on the right side in 15 cases. On the day of examination, 16 patients remembered a trauma, 14 (61 %) described a painful pronation, and 19 (83 %) a painful supination. Ulnar deviation of the affected hand was painful in 18 cases. The fovea sign was positive in 13 (57 %) patients. Five patients had a positive, nine patients a neutral, and nine patients a negative static ulnar variance in preoperative x-ray of the affected hand.

Values of the clinical examination are shown in Table 1.

The absolute measured values in the weight-bearing test with and without brace in comparison with the control are significantly different (Fig. 3). The relative load of the affected hand compared to the control hand increased from 48 % (CI 37-60, SD 27) to 59 % (CI 47-72, SD 29; n = 23, P < .001, paired t-test).

The control side showed no significant difference for values obtained with and without brace (36 kg CI 39-40, SD 13 vs 35 kg CI 31-42 SD 12, n = 22, P = .325, paired t-test). The weight-bearing capacity during X-ray compared to the standard test was significantly lower for the control hand (23 kg CI 17-29, SD 8, p = .001, paired t-test) and the affected hand (14 kg CI 10-18, SD 8, p = .036, paired t-test). The affected hand could bear 61% of the control hand during weight-bearing test, and 54% during the modified weight-bearing test for X-ray. The relationship was not significantly different (n = 18, P = .47, paired t-test). The maximum weight-bearing capacity during X-ray was significantly lower on the affected hand (13 kg CI 9.5-17.9, SD 8.4) compared to the control hand (23 kg CI 17.5-28.7, SD 11.3) kg (n = 18, P < .001, paired t-test).

Twenty-two patients had a preoperative MRI with 1.0 or 1.5 T which showed a suspected traumatic lesion in 16 cases. The agreement between MRI and intraoperative result for the differentiation of traumatic and degenerative lesions was moderate with a kappa of 0.556.

All following results are only given with P value without significance threshold because of multiple comparisons with the same sample.

Hand grip strength was different between female (27 kg CI 23-32 SD 8) and male patients (44 kg CI 39-48 SD 6) on the healthy side (n = 22, P < .001, t-test) and affected side (female 17 kg CI 12-23, SD 9; male 29 kg CI 19-39; n = 22, P = .027, t-test). This is reflected in the weight bearing test of the healthy side (female 30 kg CI 26-33 SD 5, male 45 CI 34-56 SD 15; n = 23, P = .002, t-test), but not on the affected side (female 15 kg CI 9-20 SD 9, male 19 kg CI 12-25 SD 9; n = 23, P = .231). Female patients showed a smaller absolute increase of weight bearing capacity of the affected hands (2.8 kg CI 2.0-3.7 SD 1.4) than male patients (5.4 kg CI 3.2-7.7 SD 2.9; n = 23, P = .10) but no difference of relative increase (female 34% CI 12-55 SD 36, male 41 % CI 13-69 SD 37; n = 23, P = .642).

On X-ray, the dynamic ulnar variance was lower on the affected side (0.42 mm CI 0.07-0.78 SD 0.7) compared to the control side (1.2 mm CI 0.77-1.62 SD 0.86; n = 18, P = .005, paired t-test).

Twelve patients needed an operative stabilization for DRUJ-instability. Comparison of the relative gain with the brace showed a difference. Values are shown in Table 2. The relative load of the affected hand with unstable DRUJ compared to the control hand increased from 35% (CI 20-49, SD 23) to 46% (CI 47-72, SD 29), the load of the hand with stable DRUJ from 62% (CI 47-79, SD 24) to 73% (CI 56-90, SD 25).

The comparison between patients with degenerative and traumatic lesions determined by arthroscopy is shown in Table 3. Ten of 16 patients with a traumatic lesion and two of seven with a degenerative lesion had an unstable DRUJ. The relative load of the affected hand with a traumatic TFCC lesion compared to the control hand increased from 40% (CI 26-53, SD 26) to 51% (CI 36-66, SD 28), the load of the hand with a degenerative lesion from 68% (CI 49-87 SD 21) to 78% (CI 58-98, SD 21). Static ulnar variance was not associated with traumatic or degenerative lesions in our sample (n = 23, P = .193, Fisher’s exact).
Table 2  
Comparison between stable and unstable DRUJ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distal radioulnar joint</th>
<th>Unstable</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset [years]</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>45 (11)</td>
<td>47 (14)</td>
<td>.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength on affected side [kg]</td>
<td>16.8 (8)</td>
<td>18.6 (11)</td>
<td>.928</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-bearing on affected side [kg]</td>
<td>11.3 (6.0)</td>
<td>15.5 (6.7)</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute gain wearing the brace [kg]</td>
<td>4.1 (2.6)</td>
<td>15.5 (6.7)</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative gain with brace compared to without (%)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.7)</td>
<td>.821</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-bearing test during X-ray [kg]</td>
<td>10.4 (5.7)</td>
<td>7.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic ulnar variance [mm]</td>
<td>0.36 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.2)</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between onset and presentation [days]</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>.379</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All other values were tested using the unpaired t-test.
SD = standard deviation; CI = 95%-confidence interval of the mean; IQR = interquartile range.
The significance threshold was not defined due to multiple comparisons with the same sample.

Table 3  
Comparison between traumatic and degenerative TFCC-lesion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arthroscopic finding</th>
<th>Traumatic</th>
<th>Degenerative</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset [years]</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>42 (12)</td>
<td>55 (9)</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength on affected side [kg]</td>
<td>19 (12)</td>
<td>29 (9)</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-bearing on affected side [kg]</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>23 (8)</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-bearing with brace [kg]</td>
<td>17 (6)</td>
<td>27 (9)</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative gain with brace compared to without (%)</td>
<td>0.36 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.36 (2.6)</td>
<td>.821</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-bearing test during X-ray [kg]</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic ulnar variance [mm]</td>
<td>0.28 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.7)</td>
<td>.309</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between onset and presentation [days]</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All other values are given as mean with standard deviation and were tested using the unpaired t-test.
SD = standard deviation; CI = 95%-confidence interval of the mean; IQR, interquartile range.
The significance threshold was not defined due to multiple comparisons with the same sample.

Discussion

Our study showed that the wrist brace could significantly increase the maximum tolerated axial load by reducing the pain of wrists with TFCC lesions. The brace had no effect on the control hand.

The results show a tendency that patients with unstable DRUJ have a smaller weight-bearing capacity without aid. With the brace, patients with unstable DRUJ might have a higher gain than those with stable joint. This might be due to articular stabilization of the DRUJ by the circumferential straps and prevention of translational movement. As all included patients had ulnar sided wrist pain with arthroscopically confirmed lesion of the TFCC, the DRUJ might be clinically stable in manual testing. But translational movement might be still painful in such individuals and explain the effect in patients with stable DRUJ.

After the 'Press test' which used the body weight on arm rests,10 the 'Push Off' test was developed in which the patient would lean on a grip dynamometer to obtain quantitative results for follow up examinations.13 We used an analogue scale as it is less expensive and can show the maximum possible load (dynamic test). The load on both hands is reduced during the modified weight-bearing test for X-ray as the hand is placed differently. But they show the same relation between both hands and relative values might be comparable. A weight bearing study using a defined load of 18.1 kg described a correlation of a 1 mm increase of ulnar variance with occurrence of an intraarticular pathology.18 This result could not be reproduced in this study because an axial load of 18 kg could not be reached by the participants during X-ray with our examination setup.

Even though female and male patients showed different values for grip strength and weight bearing capacity, the relative gain of the WristWidget seemed not to be different. For further sex-based examinations, the relative gain should be used.

While patients with an unstable DRUJ presented earlier than those with a stable joint, patients with a degenerative lesion of the TFCC presented later than those with a traumatic lesion. Patients with a degenerative lesion are stronger in all strength tests of the affected hand, maybe due to preserved stability as the lesion is located centrally. Patients with a traumatic lesion might suffer from stronger exertional pain which might also be reflected in the smaller dynamic ulnar variance compared to the control hand during X-ray. This contrasts with cadaveric examinations where progressively unstable DRUJ is positively correlated with dynamic ulnar variance.1

A study on ulnocarpal impaction found no difference in static ulnar variance between the symptomatic and contralateral wrist.19 Static ulnar variance had no influence on the clinical results in our sample.

Even though patients with a traumatic lesion had a lower weight-bearing capacity than those with a degenerative lesion, the absolute gain was identical. As the relative gain is higher, patients...
with a traumatic lesion might experience more gain in strength while those with a degenerative lesion come closer to values of the control hand.

A more detailed subgrouping according to the lesion site (Palmer) or using the Atzei-classification would need a larger sample. The agreement between the MRI report and surgeon regarding the etiology and classification of TFCC lesions was only moderate which might in parts be attributed to the diverse quality of MRI imaging (eg, magnetic field strength of 1.0 or 1.5 T, application of contrast medium) or the subjective factor of both the radiologist and the surgeon which was shown in reviews of diagnostic accuracy.20,21

As the wrist brace is easy to apply and may ease the pain in patients with traumatic lesions of the TFCC, it can be recommended for patients who are hesitant or not fit for operation.

We are aware of the low statistical power due to the small number of participants. The presented values were used for effect size estimation and power analysis for a prospective study registered under the same trial number DRKS00020350 available at the German Clinical Trials Register. We want to assess the effect of the wrist widget in patients with traumatic TFCC-lesions with unstable DRUJ. As we are focusing on the underlying pathology and possible use of the wrist brace for diagnostic purposes, we might compare different braces later.
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